

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,082	10/16/2001	Vardarajan R. Iyengar	DP-305851 7500/95	5556	
7	590 10/31/2002				
SCOTT A. MCBAIN DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 1450 W. Long Lake, Mail Code: 482-204			EXAMINER		
			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 48098			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	-		3683	· · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	f				
		IYENGAR ET AL.	•				
Office Action Summary	09/981,082 Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas J. Williams	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap							
Period`for Reply	,	•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent or the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal matters, preserved to the results of the r	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,12,13,16,17,20 and 21</u> is/are rej	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,12,13,16,17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>9-11,14,15,18,19,22 and 23</u> is/are o							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	· ·						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the privapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language points: 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic and the complex of the com							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/981,082 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,788,028 to Bieber.

Re-claims 1-2, Bieber discloses a device and method of controlling a damping force of a damper, comprising: generating a damping force in response to a first operating current; determining a temperature compensation; applying a temperature compensation to the first current to generate a second operating current as a function of both a desired force level and operating temperature, see column 3 lines 55-67 to column 4 lines 1-43.

Re-claim 3, Bieber discloses a system, comprising: a damper operable to generate a damping force in response to a first operating current; a controller (CPU); the controller generates a second operating current as a function of a desired force level, column 3 lines 55-63; the controller determines a temperature compensation as a function of temperature, defined as the third signal column 4 line 34; the controller applies the temperature compensation to the second operating current to generate the first operating current as a function of the desired force level and operating temperature of the damper, column 5 lines 1-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,082

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claims 5-7, the controller includes a module, such as a remote sensors, that generate the operating temperature equating to an ambient temperature and a measured temperature of the damper and estimated damper temperature.

Re-claim 8, the temperature compensation factor of Bieber is a scale factor, this factor is applied to the second operating current thus providing an adjusted first operating current.

Re-claim 12, Bieber discloses a method for controlling a damping force of a damper, comprising: generating a first operating current; determining a scale factor (compensation factor) as a function of operating temperature; generating a second operating current as a product of the first operating current and the scale factor; the second operating current is supplied to the damper to control the damping force as a function of the desired force level and the operating temperature of the damper.

Re-claim 13, Bieber discloses a method for controlling a damping force of a damper, comprising: generating a first operating current as a function of desired force level, this is seen as an initial operating value such as when the vehicle is traveling straight on a smooth surface; determining a scale factor as a function of operating temperature and an offset value as a function of velocity; generating a second operating current to the damper in response to the scale factor and the offset value.

Re-claim 16, Bieber discloses a device for controlling a damping force, comprising: a first module for generating a first operating current as a function of a desired force level; a second module determines a scale factor as a function of temperature and generates a second operating current as a product of the first operating current and the scale factor, the CPU or

Application/Control Number: 09/981,082

Art Unit: 3683

processor performs the previously recited functions; the second module provides the damper with the second operating current.

Re-claim 17, Bieber discloses a device for controlling a damping force of a damper, comprising: a first module that generates a first operating current as a function of desired force level, this is seen as an initial operating value such as when the vehicle is traveling straight on a smooth surface; a second module determines a scale factor as a function of operating temperature and an offset value as a function of velocity, the second module provides the damper with the second operating current in response to the scale factor and the offset value. The CPU is both the first and second module.

Re-claim 20, Bieber discloses a system, comprising: a damper operable to provide a damping force in response to a first operating current; a controller; the controller generates a second operating current as a function of a desired force level, column 3 lines 55-63; the controller determines a scale factor (or temperature compensation factor); the first operating current is outputted as a product of the second operating current and the scale factor, in essence the first operating current is a corrected current value based upon the scale factor; the controller applies the first current to the damper.

Re-claim 21, Bieber discloses a system, comprising: a damper operable to provide a damping force in response to a first operating current; a controller; the controller generates a second operating current as a function of a desired force level, column 3 lines 55-63; the controller determines a scale factor (or temperature compensation factor) and an offset value (such as relative speed between the wheel and chassis); the controller is operable to provide the first operating current to the damper in response to a determination of the scale factor and the

Application/Control Number: 09/981,082

Art Unit: 3683

offset value. The final output current to the damper will take into account the temperature of the damper and relative velocity between the wheel and chassis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bieber in view of US 5,396,973 to Schwemmer et al.

Bieber fails to teach the use of the temperature compensation operation in a damper having a magnetorheological fluid. However, Schwemmer et al. teaches temperature compensation in magnetorheological dampers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the temperature compensation methods of Bieber in a magnetorheological damper as taught by Schwemmer et al., thus providing a means of temperature compensation in a magnetorheological damper.

Art Unit: 3683

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-11, 14, 15, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Mitsui teaches temperature compensation for a damper having an electro-viscous

fluid. Emura et al. teaches temperature compensation for a damper. Maguran, Jr. teaches a

temperature responsive suspension system. Jakobs et al. teaches temperature compensation for a

damper having an MR fluid.

8. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1346.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached at (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TJW

October 23, 2002

ACL I RUINDER

PURENVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

10/28/02